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Study to evaluate the outcomes of surgical stabilization of distal 1/3rd fracture shaft femur with retrograde nailing
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ABSTRACT

Background: Distal femur is a complex fractures and most of times a personalized approach is needed for these fractures. Over a period of time variety of approaches and implants have been used for these fractures. The use of retrograde femur nail for fixing femur fracture, using entry from inter-condylar notch of femur, is a known method of treatment, but most surgeons are apprehensive of making an entry from the knee joint because of possible complications like knee pain, arthro-fibrosis, infections etc. The aim of this study was to investigate its effectiveness in fixation of distal 1/3rd fracture of femur with special emphasis on the outcome and inherent surgical challenges.

Methods: A prospective study of 40 patients with fracture femur diaphysial distal one third were treated by retrograde nailing, from July 2010 to January 2016, after obtaining required approval from the Institutional ethical & research committee. All the patients were followed till fracture union and evaluated on the basis of demography, duration of healing, complications and surgical challenges.

Results: We observed that mean age of patients was 35.8 years (18 years to 62 years) where 87.5% were male and 12.5% were female. Average duration of healing was 17.75 weeks (ranging from 10 weeks to 36 weeks) with 100% healing achieved. Mean knee range of motion was 124.5 degree (70 to 140 degree), rate of knee pain 10% (4/40), re-operation rate 5% (2/40), infection rate 2.5% (1/40), and fat embolism 2.5% (1/40).

Conclusions: Retrograde femoral nailing is a reliable alternative to antegrade nailing or plate fixation for diaphyseal fracture distal one third femur, and may be in some situations even advantageous when antegrade nail entry is challenging like ipsilateral hip fractures, previous implant in hip & proximal femur, ipsilateral pelvi-acetabular injury, bilateral femoral shaft fracture, floating Knee injuries, poly-trauma and obese patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of distal femur fractures is around 37/100,000 patients per year.¹ Typically, two distinct mechanisms of injury cause distal femur fractures. In the older population with osteoporotic bone and vulnerable soft tissue envelope, distal femoral fractures occur predominately after low energy trauma, e.g., falls and sprain injuries complicated by a high rate of comorbidity (60% female, older than 60 years). In young patients (60% male, younger than 40 years), high energy trauma causes complex injury with comminuted and open fracture pattern. Approx. 30% of patients with distal femur fractures are poly-traumatized. 40% had soft tissue injuries, 10% had ligamentous lesions, 8% had meniscal lesions, 10% had dissected cartilage fragments and 15%
had patella fractures and 38% of supracondylar/intercondylar distal femoral fractures have a coronal plane fracture.\footnote{2-6}

Intramedullary femoral nailing has classically been performed using antegrade entry from piriformis fossa and has produced excellent results. Winquist et al had 99.1% union rate with postoperative knee ROM averaging 130 degree and 0.9% infection rate.\footnote{7} Since antegrade nail has been so successful there has been resistance in surgeons to accept retrograde nailing as an alternative as shown in Figure 1 and 2.\footnote{8}
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\caption{X-ray showed traumatic fracture at distal femur.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Surgical stabilization retrograde nailing. Postoperative radiographs of a distal third femoral shaft fracture treated with a retrograde interlocking nailing.}
\end{figure}

Retrograde nailing have an advantage over other techniques, viz

- Effective treatment of ipsilateral femur shaft and femoral leg fractures as shown in Figure 3a & 3b.\footnote{9}
- In patients with ipsilateral hip acetabular or pelvic fractures most surgeons prefer independent fixation of each injury this approach allows for the best possible treatment of each fracture without compromising the surgical approach of other.\footnote{10}
- Ostrum RF et al have advocated retrograde femoral nailing to treat bilateral femur fractures, both fractures can be fixed simultaneously on a simple table.\footnote{11}
- No significant post-operative abductor weakness, no postsurgical hetero-tropic ossification in the region of hip, simultaneous treatment of bilateral lower extremity injuries.\footnote{12}
- Decreased operative time and decreased positioning time.\footnote{13,15}
- Since there is no direct radiation to the pelvic region during retrograde nailing, pregnant patients may benefit from this technique.\footnote{16}
- Gregory et al have demonstrated its usefulness in floating knee injuries by using single incision over patellar tendon.\footnote{17}
- No risk of pudendal nerve palsy which is as high as 17% in antegrade femoral nailing on a fracture table, less reaming required.\footnote{18}
- Obese patients can be operated with ease using this technique.
- Patients with unhealthy skin in the region of hip can be considered as a candidate for retrograde nailing.
- Floating knee injury stabilized by retrograde nail and tibia interlocking nail using single incision over patellar tendon.
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\caption{Floating knee injury stabilised by retrograde nail and tibia interlocking nail using single incision over patellar tendon.}
\end{figure}

Lonnel et al described the use of retrograde nail in a patient with ankylosed hip and stiffed knee with prior history of head injury.\footnote{19} The patient had heterotopic ossification around hip and sustained a femoral shaft fracture after a fall. The presence of heterotopic ossification in the hip region pre-operatively made an antegrade starting portal almost impossible. The authors proceeded with retrograde femoral nailing and obtained good results.

Polytrauma patients where a team approach is required with abdominal and thoracic injuries. Team of surgeons can manage injuries simultaneously or sequentially without needing to change operation table. Interventions...
are accompanied by both benefits and problems. The problem with retrograde nailing are patella-femoral arthrosis, knee stiffness quadriceps atrophy, risk of intra-articular infections, synovial metallosis, and need for orthrotomy for hardware removal.12,20,21

Technically the proximal locking in retrograde nailing can be challenging as the lateral target device often mismatch in proximal locking due to strong muscle forces. To negotiate this problem recent nail designs are considering antero-posterior free hand locking option for proximal locking.

METHODS

A prospective study was done in 40 patients of distal 1/3rd fractures of femur treated by retrograde nailing from July 2010 to January 2016, after approval from institutional ethical and research committee of the institute.

The femoral shaft was divided into 3 equal segments and only fractures with the major fracture line in the distal third were included. Fractures without involvement of the lower third of the femoral shaft, those with a supracondylar/intercondylar extension, grade-III open fractures, and those with an open physeal plate were excluded. All relevant data were collected in a standardised proforma.

All the patients were followed till fracture healing (average 24 weeks) and were evaluated on the basis of demography, duration, percentage of healing, complications, range of motion, and surgical challenges. Written informed consent was taken from individual study participants.

Operative procedure

The patient is positioned supine on a radiolucent table. Knee is kept in slight flexion (20 to 50 degree) by keeping a bump below the knee. The optimal starting point for the procedure is in the intercondylar notch 1 finger breadth anterior to the PCL origin, similar to the location where a femoral intramedullary guide rod would be placed during TKR.

There are at least 4 ways to approach inter-condylar notch.

1. Medial parapatellar arthrotomy - this approach is preferable when there is an intra-articular fracture which requires reduction and fixation.
2. Per cutaneous technique (patellar tendon retraction) - an incision about 5-7 cm is made from inferior border of patella to superior border of tibial tuberosity. The patellar tendon is retracted laterally and entry point is localized.
3. Percutaneous technique (splitting the patellar tendon) - an incision is made from inferior border of patella to the superior border of tibial tuberosity. The patellar tendon is split in the middle and entry point is located.
4. Arthroscopic localization

After localizing entry point 1 finger breadth anterior to the PCL origin, a bone awl is kept over it the position of the awl is confirmed in the IITV. The awl is advanced into the condylar notch. After which a thin solid 7 mm entry reamer is introduced in the canal. Once the position in the medullary canal is satisfactory we introduce a guide wire in the medullary canal. Fracture reduction is achieved by traction, closed manipulation, adjustment of the bolster underneath the knee and guide wire negotiated through fracture site. Once the guide wire is in canal reaming is done, gradually up to the required diameter. The surgeon should be careful to avoid any contact between reamer and the patella by using tissue protractor and reamer sleeve.

In our study we reamed one size higher (i.e. 11 mm for a 10 mm nail). We keep 9 mm to 12 mm diameter options in stock. We reamed canal only up to desired length of nail say 30 cm reaming for 30 cm nail. This helped us to negotiate nail with limited reaming of the canal to avoid complications of reaming. Once reaming was done, the nail of required length and diameter was introduced.

We used nails of a maximum 30 cm length and a minimum 15 cm length. Hence only those patients which had fracture within 25 cm of distal-end of femur were included in this study. Once the nail is introduced, locking was performed using lateral targeting device. The nail had 3 locking options in distal region and two in the proximal. Distal locking bolts were 6.5 mm caliber and proximal locking bolt were 5 mm.

We performed minimum 2 proximal and 2 distal locks. Occasionally one proximal screw was used when nail had at least 10 cm of secure intramedullary purchase. Before locking it was always mandatory to confirm that the distal end of nail is buried at least 2 mm deep to the subchondral bone.

Postoperative management

Postoperative knee brace was given with gradual knee bending with quadriceps and hamstring. Weight bearing was begun as early as possible, depending upon the fracture anatomy, quality of fixation and concomitant injuries. Presence of callus on radiograph helped to decide the ambulation program. Occasionally continuous passive motion programme was initiated to gain the desired range of movement.

RESULTS

In the present study, out of 40 study subjects there were 15 patients (37.5%) in the age group of 21-30 years, 12 patients (30%) were in the age group of 31-40 years, 8 patients (20%) were in the age group of 41-50 years, 5
patients (12.5%) in the age group of 51-60 years with mean age of the patients was 35.8 years. We divided the patients according to the gender there were 35 patients (87.5%) male and 5 patients (12.5%) female as given in Table 1. Male preponderance in our study shows younger age group males were more prone to distal femur fracture as they are engaged in various outdoor activities. The mode of injury in case of majority of the patients had RTA (road traffic accident) 24 patients (60%). Rest of the patients had fall from height 8 patients (20%), sports injury 4 patients (10%) and domestic injury 4 patients (10%) as in Table 1. Our hospital is situated on a national highway which explains the RTA as the common mode of injury in our institute.

Out of all study participants 30 patients (75%) had closed fractures and rest 10 patients (25%) had compound fractures as in Table 1. Comminution was graded according to the WH classification system: 4 were type 0, 5 type 1, 2 type 2, 4 type 3, 3 type 4, 8 were long oblique or spiral fractures, and 4 were segmental fractures.

Table 1: Demographic particulars of the distal 1/3rd fracture shaft femur patients (n=40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>N (%) or mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean ± 12.94</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>35 (87.5%)</td>
<td>35.8 ± 12.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>35.8 ± 12.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age groups (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>15 (37.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>12 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>24 (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports injury</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall from the height</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of Fracture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed fracture</td>
<td>30 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound fracture</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated femoral</td>
<td>32 (80%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fractures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polytrauma</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean time from the injury to operation was 3 days. The mean duration of the surgery was 90 minutes. Majority of the patients 32 (80%) had isolated femoral fractures while others 8 patients (20%) had polytrauma as in Table 1. Mean time of the fracture healing was 17.75 weeks [SD±7.35]. About 18 patients (45%) had healing time of 18 weeks, 7 patients (17.5%) had healing time of 10 weeks, 6 patients (15%) had healing time of 16 weeks, 4 patients (10%) had healing time of 24 weeks, 2 patients (5%) had healing time of 14 weeks, 2 patients (5%) had healing time of 30 weeks and 1 patient (2.5%) had healing time of 36 weeks.

In the present study we have observed that the post-operative knee range of motion (ROM) achieved: 20 patients (50%) had 125º, 6 patients (15%) had 135º, 4 patients (10%) had 140º, 3 patients (7.5%) had 105º, 3 patients (7.5%) had 110º, 3 patients (7.5%) had 115º and 1 patient (2.5%) had 115º, and with mean of 124.5º post-operatively.

We noticed complication in 6 patients out of which 4 patients (10%) had knee joint pain (causes being- nail impingement & iliotibial band irritation by the locking screw), out of these 4, 2 patients (5%) were re-operated, out of these two one was re-operated due to painful knee impingement of the nail (protrusion of the nail) with the exchange nailing with careful deep seating of the new nail and the second was re-operated for the implant removal after fracture got healed, 1 patient (2.5%) had infection with septic arthritis knee during the treatment as he was a case of compound fracture grade 1 eventually healed with targeted antibiotic but lost knee ROM due to arthrofibrosis and 1 patient (2.5%) had fat embolism (was a case of floating knee with polytrauma treated by DFN & tibia interlocking nail eventually recovered from the condition with intensive care). About 10% (4/40) cases developed painful knee and decreased range of motion due to protrusion of nail in intercondylar notch 2 of which had minimal 1or 2 mm protrusion which became asymptomatic with time. One patient developed post operating infection with painful septic arthritis for which through joint lavage and suction irrigation was done and antibiotic according to sensitivity was given which eventually healed but resulted in a stiff knee. One patient with ipsilateral shaft femur with shaft tibia was treated by a reamed supra-condylar femur nail and an undreamed tibia nail, which developed fat embolism that was treated, recovering eventually. One patient had intra-operative femoral vertical splinter fracture at the tip of nail that got fixed by the usual proximal locking bolt only as in Figure 4 A and 4B. One of the patient had stress fracture from the tip of nail, which was managed by exchange by antigrade nailing as in Figure 5 A & 5B.

Figure 4a & 4b: Fracture from the tip of antegrade nail treated with retrograde nail.
Surgical and technical related complication

Failure to achieve proximal locking that occurred in 4 cases, when there was a mismatch between targeting device and the proximal hole. In all the 4 cases error was discovered on the operation table. Most causes were related to muscle forces, patients positioning and implant design. Degree of knee flexion was adjusted to negotiate the muscle forces and locking could be achieved.

DISCUSSION

When we compare our results with the metaanalysis done by Papadokostakis et al, he showed that in patients with distal femoral fractures, the mean time to union and rate of union were 13 weeks and 96.9% respectively. The mean ROM of the knee was 104.6 degree. The rates of knee pain, malunion and re-operations were 16.5, 5.2 and 17%, respectively. The overall incidence of infection was 1.1% and for septic arthritis of the knee was 0.18%.

Ricci et al presented a series of 359 femur fractures, 175 treated with antegrade femoral nailing and 166 managed using a retrograde technique. The mal-reduction rate was 0–3% for both groups. They concluded that both antegrade and retrograde rodding can lead to excellent fracture reduction and alignment for femoral mid-shaft fractures. However, retrograde nailing proved to be superior in the reduction and alignment of distal femoral fractures when compared to antegrade nailing. Even treating the implant failure for the femoral shaft distal third fracture retrograde nailing can be a good option as in Figure 6 A & 6B.

Tornetta and Tiburzi et al presented a series of 38 antegrade femoral nailings and 31 retrograde nailings. They found no difference in knee or hip range of motion between the groups. However, they had a higher incidence of mal-reductions in the retrograde group. They concluded that achieving length and judging rotation is more difficult without a fracture table. Therefore, one should be very cognizant of achieving proper length and rotation when using a retrograde femoral rod. At the 1998 annual meeting of the Orthopaedic Trauma Association, two prospective studies on retrograde femoral nailing were presented.

Ostrum et al presented a series of 100 femur fractures that were treated by either antegrade or retrograde femoral. They found no significant difference in postoperative knee range of motion between the antegrade and retrograde groups. Full ipsilateral knee range of motion was achieved in 63.6% of the antegrade group and in 72.3% of the retrograde group. However, the antegrade group achieved full knee range of motion quicker than the retrograde group, averaging 8.7 weeks versus 14.6 weeks in the retrograde group. The authors concluded that this difference was related to the increased amount of associated knee pathology in the retrograde group preoperatively. The union rate for retrograde nailing was lower in this series, 89% versus 100% for antegrade nailing.

There has always been a concern for potential patella-femoral arthritis with an intra-articular entry portal. To date, there has not been enough long-term follow-up to determine whether this should truly be a concern. Some authors have argued that retrograde nailing probably does not lead to significant posttraumatic arthritis because the intercondylar entry portal is not in a weight-bearing area and is brought into contact with the patella only in extreme flexion.

Moed and Watson et al had 6 patients in their series of fractures that complained of continued knee pain postoperatively. Arthroscopy was performed in three of these patients approximately 6 months after femoral fixation. Arthroscopy revealed no abnormalities except for some scarring in a patient who had a history of an ipsilateral patellar dislocation.

Moed and Watson et al also performed exchange nailing in two of the six patients, allowing close inspection of the knee joint after previous retrograde femoral nail insertion. Inspection of the joint once again revealed no pathologic changes. The inter-condylar entry portal was
actually covered by fibrous tissue. Biopsy of this tissue revealed that it was fibrocartilage.

In Moed et al second series of 35 femoral shaft fractures treated with retrograde nailing, they exchanged a nail to prevent infection in a quadriplegic patient who developed a decubitus ulcer. Inspection of the joint at that time again showed no intra-articular pathology and an intercondylar notch portal that was completely covered by fibrous tissue.

Postoperative knee stiffness is another potential concern with retrograde femoral nailing. However, several studies have shown that knee range of motion is not adversely affected by this technique. The risk of intraarticular infection and metallosis has also been mentioned in the literature as a potential problem. Ironically, the alternative fixation used for supracondylar femur fractures such as a 95 degree screw and side plate, a 95 degree blade plate, or a condylar buttress plate is also in an intraarticular location. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that a retrograde rod does not have an increased risk of infection or metallosis when compared to traditional supracondylar femoral fracture fixation, when rightly inserted.

The issue of quadriceps atrophy and weakness is another potential pitfall of retrograde nailing. In Moed et al most recent series, only 2 of 31 ambulatory patients (four patients were nonambulatory secondary to either closed head injury or spinal cord injury) demonstrated mild quadriceps weakness. One of the two patients had a limp with prolonged walking. Both patients were responding to exercise therapy at their latest follow-up. In Herscovici and Whiteman’s et al series of 45 fractures, eight patients had decreased strength in the affected leg. Six of these patients had full motor strength but a mild difference that could be appreciated when comparison was made to the unaffected leg. Two of the eight patients lost a full grade of strength. This loss of strength was attributable to reflex sympathetic dystrophy in one patient and to multiple traumatic knee injuries in the other patient. Although loss of quadriceps strength is a concern, the literature reveals that it is usually mild and responsive to exercise therapy. Overall, patients treated with a retrograde technique have a low incidence of limping and pain. Although antegrade nailing has been considered a relatively benign procedure, Bain et al have shown that it is associated with trochanteric pain, thigh pain, stiffness, abductor weakness, limp, reduced walking distance, and difficulty with stair climbing. Trochanteric pain is the most common complaint.

CONCLUSION

We found it as a valuable option for the orthopaedic surgeon to manage distal one third femoral shaft fractures, as it offers advantages over antegrade nailing and plate fixation, in many clinical situations like floating knee, ipsilateral pelvic acetabular injuries, ipsilateral hip arthroplasty or osteosynthesis, and obese patients. It also allows the surgeons to treat bilateral lower extremity injuries (polytrauma) on simple radiolucent operating table by minimizing operative time. The approach to the intercondylar notch can be reached quickly with minimal dissection and can be performed without fracture table. There are few problems with retrograde nailing like patella-femoral arthritis knee stiffness, quadriceps atrophy, synovial metallosis and intrarticular infections. Although the literature has not shown any increase in these complications by the use of retrograde nailing. By this we conclude that retrograde femoral nailing is an effective method of treatment of distal one third femur shaft fractures, as an alternative option but should be only after careful patient selection and acquaintance with implant to gain the desired results.
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